DAVID M. STERN (CA State Bar No. 67697), MICHAEL L. TUCHIN (CA State Bar No. 150375), and KORIN A. ELLIOTT (CA State Bar No. 260363) KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 39th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 407-4000 Facsimile: (310) 407-9090

-and-

WILLIAM A. BROSCIOUS (VA State Bar No. 27436) KEPLEY BROSCIOUS & BIGGS, PLC 2211 Pump Road Richmond, Virginia 23233

Telephone: (804) 741-0400, ext. 202

Attorneys for Claimant (Claim Nos. 1009, 9681) PARAMOUNT HOME ENTERTAINMENT INC.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA (Richmond Division)

In re	Case No.: 08-35653-KRH
	(Jointly Administered)

CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,

Debtors.

Chapter 11

APPELLANT PARAMOUNT HOME ENTERTAINMENT INC.'S STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE PRESENTED AND DESIGNATION OF ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN RECORD ON APPEAL

Paramount Home Entertainment Inc. ("<u>Paramount</u>"), by and through its undersigned counsel and pursuant to Rule 8006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 8006-1, hereby submits its Statement of Issues to be Presented and Designation of Items to be Included in Record on Appeal relating to its *Notice of Appeal* filed on March 18, 2010 [Docket No. 6900].

I. STATEMENT OF ISSUES

- 1. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that a seller who has served an otherwise valid reclamation demand must take additional action including, at a minimum, seeking and obtaining relief from the automatic stay, in order to be able to enforce its right of reclamation under section 546 of chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").
- 2. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that after the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 ("BAPCPA") amendments to section 546(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, a reclamation seller may be granted an administrative expense claim only if (a) it satisfies section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code or (b) it establishes a benefit to the estate so that the claim would qualify as an administrative expense under section 503(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that Paramount's reclamation demand had to be "denied" by the Bankruptcy Court in order for Paramount to be entitled to relief.
- 4. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that the reclamation demand served by Paramount on the Debtors was never "denied" by the Bankruptcy Court despite the fact that (a) the court approved reclamation procedures which provided that, if the Debtors did not give notice to the claimant of the allowed amount of the reclamation demand by March 10, 2009, the demand was deemed rejected and (b) Paramount received no such notice from the Debtors or otherwise of an allowed amount.
- 5. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that compliance with the reclamation procedures ordered by the Bankruptcy Court gave Paramount nothing more than an unenforced right to reclaim goods.
- 6. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that a reclamation claim is rendered valueless as anything other than a general unsecured, non-priority claim at the commencement of a case when a pre-petition lender has a floating blanket lien on the

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 7064 Filed 03/31/10 Entered 03/31/10 16:52:36 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 9

debtor's inventory and the amount owed to the pre-petition lender on the petition date exceeds the amount of the reclamation claim.

- 7. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that any interest Paramount may have had in the goods subject to its right of reclamation, despite the existence of the pre-petition floating blanket lien, was extinguished when the Debtors refinanced their pre-petition debt and entered into a post-petition, debtor-in-possession, secured financing facility (the "DIP Facility") because the goods were "used" as collateral even if the Debtors were still in possession of all of the goods subject to Paramount's right of reclamation.
- 8. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that, even if Paramount's right of reclamation was not rendered valueless as a result of the pre-petition floating blanket lien or the DIP Facility, any interest Paramount had in the goods subject to its right of reclamation was extinguished when the Debtors sold their entire inventory during the going-out-of-business sales even if goods subject to Paramount's right of reclamation were still in the Debtors' possession at the time they had raised sufficient funds to satisfy the DIP Facility lenders.
- 9. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in holding that a reclamation right, under both state law and section 546(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, never extends to any proceeds of the goods subject to reclamation.
- 10. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in granting summary judgment in light of the uncompleted but propounded discovery and the showing made by Paramount in full compliance with Rule 56(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable pursuant to Rule 7056 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

II. <u>DESIGNATION OF RECORD</u>

The following designation includes any and all exhibits and attachments filed with each designated document.

EXHIBIT	DOCKET#	DATE FILED	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
1	14	November 10, 2008	Motion of Debtors for Order Under Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a), 362, 503(b), 507(a), 546(a), and 546(h) (I) Granting Administrative Expense Status to Obligations from Postpetition Delivery of Goods; (II) Authorizing Payment of Expenses in the Ordinary Course of Business; (III) Authorizing Debtors to Return Goods; and (IV) Establishing Procedures for Reclamation Demands
2	n/a	Served on November 12, 2008	Demand for Reclamation of Goods by Paramount Home Entertainment (This document was filed with the Court as Exhibit 1 to the Response of Paramount Home Entertainment Inc. to Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Misclassified Claims to Unsecured, Non-Priority Claims) [Docket No. 4154].)
3	133	November 13, 2008	Order Under Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a), 362, 503(b), 507(a), 546(c), and 546(h) (I) Granting Administrative Expense Status to Obligations from Postpetition Delivery of Goods; (II) Authorizing Payment of Expenses in the Ordinary Course of Business; (III) Authorizing Debtors to Return Goods; and (IV) Establishing Procedures for Reclamation Demands
4	411	November 25, 2008	Motion of Debtors for Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105 and 502, and Bankruptcy Rule 2002, 3003(c)(3), and 9007; (I) Setting General Bar Date and Procedures for Filing Proofs of Claim, and (II) Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof

EXHIBIT	DOCKET#	DATE FILED	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
5	890	December 10, 2008	Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105 and 502 and Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 3003(c)(3), and 9007 (I) Setting General Bar Date and Procedures for Filing Proofs of Claim; and (II) Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof
6	897	December 11, 2008	Final Order Under Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a), 362, 503(b), 507(a), 546(c), and 546(h) (I) Granting Administrative Expense Status to Obligations From Postpetition Delivery of Goods; (II) Authorizing Payment of Expenses in the Ordinary Course of Business; (III) Authorizing Debtors to Return Goods; and (IV) Establishing Procedures for Reclamation Demands
7	966	December 12, 2008	Notice of Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim
8	Claim No. 9681	January 30, 2009	Paramount's Proof of Claim (This document was filed with the Court as Exhibit 3 to the Response of Paramount Home Entertainment Inc. to Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Misclassified Claims to Unsecured, Non-Priority Claims) [Docket No. 4154].)
9	2505	March 10, 2009	Debtors' Motion for an Order Approving (A) Procedures for Filing Omnibus Objections to Claims and (B) the Form and Manner of the Notice of Omnibus Objections
10	2881	April 1, 2009	Order Establishing Omnibus Objection Procedures and Approving the Form and Manner of Notice of Omnibus Objections
11	3703	June 22, 2009	Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Misclassified Claims to General Unsecured, Non-Priority Claims)

EXHIBIT	DOCKET#	DATE FILED	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
12	4154	July 16, 2009	Response of Paramount Home Entertainment Inc. to Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Misclassified Claims to Unsecured, Non-Priority Claims)
13	5030	September 22, 2009	Disclosure Statement with Respect to First Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and Its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims
14	n/a	Served on November 23, 2009	Paramount Home Entertainment Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories to Circuit City Stores, Inc., et al.
			(This document was filed with the Court as Exhibit 1 to the <i>Declaration of David M. Stern Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f)</i> [Docket No. 6238].)
15	n/a	Served on November 23, 2009	Paramount Home Entertainment Inc.'s First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Circuit City Stores, Inc., et al.
			(This document was filed with the Court as Exhibit 2 to the <i>Declaration of David M. Stern Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f)</i> [Docket No. 6238].)
16	6135	December 18, 2009	Debtors' Motion for Summary Judgment with Respect to Certain Claims Subject to (I) The Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Misclassified Claims to General Unsecured, Non-Priority Claims) and (II) the Debtors' Thirty-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims (Modification and/or Reclassification of Certain Claims)
17	6136	December 18, 2009	Debtors' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment with Respect to Certain Claims Subject to (I) the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Misclassified Claims to General Unsecured, Non- Priority Claims) and (II) the Debtors' Thirty-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims Modification and/or Reclassification of Certain Claims)

EXHIBIT	DOCKET#	DATE FILED	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
18	n/a	Served on December 28, 2009	Debtors' Objections and Responses to Paramount Home Entertainment Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories to Circuit City Stores, et al. (This document was filed with the Court as Exhibit 3 to the <i>Declaration of David M. Stern Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f)</i> [Docket No. 6238]).
19	6237	January 8, 2010	Opposition of Paramount Home Entertainment Inc. to Debtors' Motion for Summary Judgment with Respect to Certain Claims Subject to the Debtors' Nineteenth and Thirty-Third Omnibus Objections to Claims
20	6238	January 8, 2010	Declaration of David M. Stern Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f)
21	6253	January 11, 2010	Notice of Agenda of Matters Scheduled for Hearing on January 14, 2010 at 2:00 p.m. (Eastern)
22	6260	January 13, 2010	Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment with Respect to Certain Claims Subject to (I) the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Misclassified Claims to General Unsecured, Non- Priority Claims) and (II) the Debtors' Thirty-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims (Modification and/or Reclassification of Certain Claims)
23	6324	January 19, 2010	Supplemental Post-Argument Brief of Paramount Home Entertainment Inc. in Opposition to Debtors' Motion for Summary Judgment with Respect to Certain Claims Subject to the Debtors' Nineteenth and Thirty-Third Omnibus Objections to Claims

Paramount also wishes to include in the record on appeal the oral arguments of counsel at the January 14, 2010 hearing and seeks leave of the Court to do so through a concurrently filed motion pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 8006-1(A). The transcript from this hearing is also attached as Exhibit A to the *Debtors' Brief in Response to the Supplemental Post-Argument Brief of Paramount Pictures Home Entertainment, Inc. in Opposition to Debtors' Motion for Summary Judgment with Respect to Certain Claims Subject to the Debtors' Nineteenth and Thirty-Third Omnibus Objections to Claims* [Docket No. 6372], which Paramount designates as part of the record on appeal.

EXHIBIT	DOCKET#	DATE FILED	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT
24	6372	January 26, 2010	Debtors' Brief in Response to the Supplemental Post-Argument Brief of Paramount Pictures Home Entertainment, Inc. in Opposition to Debtors' Motion for Summary Judgment with Respect to Certain Claims Subject to the Debtors' Nineteenth and Thirty-Third Omnibus Objections to Claims
25	6693	March 5, 2010	Memorandum Opinion [re: Docket No. 6135]
26	6694	March 5, 2010	Order [re: Docket No. 6135]
27	6900	March 18, 2010	Notice of Appeal of Paramount Home Entertainment Inc.
28	6901	March 18, 2010	U.S. Treasury Receipt of Notice of Appeal Filing Fee

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: March 31, 2010 KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP

By: _

David M. Stern Michael L. Tuchin Korin A. Elliott

1999 Avenue of the Stars, 39th Floor

mun)

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 407-4000

Email: dstern@ktbslaw.com

Of counsel:

Attorneys for PARAMOUNT HOME ENTERTAINMENT INC.

KEPLEY BROSCIOUS & BIGGS, PLC 2211 Pump Road Richmond, Virginia 23233 Tel: (804) 741-0400 wbroscious@kbbplc.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Korin A. Elliott, hereby certify that I have electronically filed the foregoing Appellant Paramount Home Entertainment Inc.'s Statement of Issues to be Presented and Designation of Items to be Included in Record on Appeal, without exhibits, with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system and have filed the foregoing Appellant Paramount Home Entertainment Inc.'s Statement of Issues to be Presented and Designation of Items to be Included in Record on Appeal, including all exhibits thereto, conventionally with the Clerk of Court on this 31st day of March, 2010.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing Appellant Paramount Home Entertainment Inc.'s Statement of Issues to be Presented and Designation of Items to be Included in Record on Appeal, without exhibits, has been served upon the parties listed below via first class mail on this 31st day of March, 2010.

/s/ Korin A. Elliott

Korin A. Elliott (kelliott@ktbslaw.com)

The Debtors
Circuit City Stores, Inc. Attn: Michelle Mosier

4951 Lake Brook Dr., Suite #500

Glen Allen, VA 23060

Debtors' Counsel

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER

& FLOM, LLP

Attn: Greg M. Galardi and Ian S.

Fredericks

One Rodney Square

10th and King Streets, 7th Fl.

Wilmington, DE 19801

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER

& FLOM, LLP

Attn: Chris L. Dickerson 155 N. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

MCGUIRE WOODS LLP

Attn: Douglas M. Foley and

Dion W. Hayes 901 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219 Office of the U.S. Trustee

Attn: Robert B. Van Arsdale 701 East Broad Street, Suite 4304

Richmond, VA 23219

Counsel for the Creditors' Committee

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES, LLP

Attn: Richard M. Pachulski, Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, and Stanley E. Goldich 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 11th Fl.

Los Angeles, CA 90067

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES,

LLP

Attn: Robert J. Feinstein 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, NY 10017

TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

Attn: Lynn L. Tavenner and

Paula S. Beran

280 N. Eighth Street, 2nd Fl.

Richmond, VA 23219